

Inequality & Growth

Crieff Hydro

10 January MMXIII

Jelte Harnmeijer & Lucia Lavric

jelte@realfuture.org

Overview

- Introduction
 - Inequality & Growth
 - How studies into inequality differ
- Objective
- Results to date
- (Pre-emptive) Conclusions
- Onward work

Introduction

- ‘Inequality’ has received significant attention from economists
- Of particular interest is the relationship between growth and inequality

Introduction

- The relationship between growth and inequality is ...
 - Negative
 - Alesina & Rodrik (1994); Persson & Tabellini (1994); Perotti (1996)
 - Positive
 - Li & Zou (1998); Forbes (2000)
 - Insignificant
 - Deininger & Squire (1996)
 - Non-linear
 - Barro (2000); Benabou (2000)

Introduction

Studies into inequality differ in respect of:

- Domain
 - Global
 - “Why are some countries rich and others poor?”
 - “Is overall inequality increasing or decreasing in the world?”
 - Regional
 - National

Introduction

Studies into inequality differ in respect of:

- Measure of Wealth
 - Expenditure
 - Income
 - Net of taxation
 - Gross

Introduction

Studies into inequality differ in respect of:

- Unit of Analysis
 - Individual
 - Taxpayer
 - Income earner
 - May exclude ‘economically inactive’
 - Household
 - Family

Introduction

Studies into inequality differ in respect of:

- Measure of Inequality
 - Ratios of Percentiles
 - Aggregated indices
 - Gini index
 - Theil index

Objective

Does the use of newer and more methodologically homogeneous inequality country panel data have a bearing on selected tests of relationship between inequality and growth?

Controls

$$X_{it}$$

<i>Study</i>	<i>Variable</i>	<i>Description</i>
Perroti (1996)	$\ln(GDP(t))$	Natural log of per-capita Real GDP
	$PPP I(t)$	PPP value of investment deflator
	$msec(t)$	Average years of male secondary education
	$fsec(t)$	Average years of female secondary education

Controls

$$X_{it}$$

<i>Study</i>	<i>Variable</i>	<i>Description</i>
<i>Barro (2000)</i>	<i>ln (GDP (t-1))</i>	Natural log of per-capita GDP
	<i>ln (GDP (t-1))^2</i>	Squared natural log of per-capita GDP
	<i>govconsump (t)</i>	Government consumption
	<i>secedu (t)</i>	Average years of secondary education
	<i>teredu (t)</i>	Average years of tertiary education
	<i>fert (t)</i>	Fertility
	<i>termstrade (t)</i>	Terms of Trade
	<i>rulelaw (t)</i>	Rule of Law index
	<i>democ (t)</i>	Democracy index
	<i>democ (t)^2</i>	Squared democracy index
	<i>spanportcolony</i>	Spanish or Portuguese colony dummy
	<i>colony</i>	Other colony dummy
	<i>invshare (t)</i>	Investment share

Datasets

g_{it}

- World Bank
 - Deininger & Squire (1996)
 - Classed into 4 distinct quality categories
 - 45 countries in high-quality subset
 - 655 observations
 - Includes different measures of wealth
 - Income (Gross & Net)
 - Expenditure
 - Includes different units of analysis
 - Individuals
 - Households

Datasets

g_{it}

- University of Texas Inequality Project (UTIP)
 - Galbraith & Hum (2008)
 - 151 countries in dataset
 - 3513 observations
 - Highly unbalanced
 - Includes a single measure of wealth
 - Gross Income
 - Includes a single unit of analysis
 - Households

Datasets Compared

g_{it}

- World Bank
 - Includes different measures of wealth
 - Includes different units of analysis
 - These differences are commonly ignored or ‘transformed’ to household units in many studies
- University of Texas Inequality Project (UTIP)
 - Includes a single measure of wealth
 - Includes a single unit of analysis

Preliminary Results

 γ

$$(y_{it+a} - y_{it})/a = \alpha y_{it} + X_{it}\beta + \gamma g_{it} + v_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Specification	Estimation	Dataset	γ
Perotti (1996)	Fixed Effects	IBRD (1996)	+0.190 (0.069)
		UTIP (2008)	+0.126 (0.046)
	Random Effects	IBRD (1996)	-0.000 (0.026)
		UTIP (2008)	-0.008 (0.038)
	Pooled OLS	IBRD (1996)	-0.017 (0.023)
		UTIP (2008)	-0.042 (0.039)

Preliminary Results

 γ

$$(y_{it+a} - y_{it})/a = \alpha y_{it} + X_{it}\beta + \gamma g_{it} + v_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Specification	Estimation	Dataset	γ
Barro (2000)	Fixed Effects	IBRD (1996)	+0.201 (0.041)
		UTIP (2008)	+0.151 (0.043)
	Random Effects	IBRD (1996)	+0.103 (0.034)
		UTIP (2008)	+0.103 (0.033)
	Pooled OLS	IBRD (1996)	+0.096 (0.033)
		UTIP (2008)	+0.103 (0.033)

(Pre-emptive) Conclusions

- Thus far, using the newer and more methodologically homogeneous inequality dataset has little effect on tests into the relationship between inequality and growth

Onward work

- Use non-PPP adjusted GDP data
- Investigate possible unbalanced panel problems using the newer (UTIP) dataset
- Add First Differences estimation
- Add Arellano & Bond (1991) GMM estimation
- Add selected non-linear functional forms for inequality variable:

$$(y_{it+a} - y_{it})/a = \alpha y_{it} + X_{it}\beta + \phi(g_{it}) + v_i + \varepsilon_{it}$$